



Atty. Dkt. No. 062800-0119

JFW

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Akinari TAKADA  
Title: RADIO CONTROLLED TIMEPIECE, ELECTRONIC DEVICE AND TIME CORRECTION METHOD (as amended)  
Appl. No.: 10/584,254  
Filing Date: 12/24/2004  
Examiner: Kiet M. Doan  
Art Unit: 2617  
Confirmation Number: 1472

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
Sir:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.133, submitted herewith is a record of the substance of the interview conducted on October 2, 2009, with Examiner Doan, regarding the above-captioned application. It is submitted that the Interview Summary (a copy of which is attached) provides a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the interview, per MPEP §713.04. This statement is being submitted within one (1) month of the mailing date (October 20, 2009) of the Interview Summary and is therefore a timely response.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

11/19/09

By

Glenn Law

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Customer Number: 22428  
Telephone: (202) 672-5426  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Glenn Law  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 34,371

W. Keith Robinson  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 59,396



### Interview Summary

Application No.

10/584,254

Applicant(s)

TAKADA, AKINARI

Examiner

KIET DOAN

Art Unit

2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KIET DOAN.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Walter K. Robinson (Reg. No. 59,396).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10/02/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed with attorney Walker Robinson regarding claim 7 and agrees to examiner amendment in order to expedite application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.